

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	09/896,052	BUNICK ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	S. TRAN	1615	

  

**All Participants:**

(1) S. TRAN.

(2) Victor Tsu.

**Date of Interview:** 24 September 2010

**Type of Interview:**

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant    ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes    ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

  

Claims discussed:

  

Prior art documents discussed:

  

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

*to place the application in condition for allowance, it was suggested to: 1) cancel claim 26; and 2) incorporate the limitations of claims 4 and 5 into claims 1, 15 and 16. Applicant's attorney authorized the Examiner's Amendment*

**Part III.**

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)